

REMARKSI. Introduction

In response to the Office Action dated July 2, 2007, claims 1, 14 and 27 have been amended. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9-14, 19, 20, 22-27, 32, 33 and 35-39 remain in the application. Re-examination and re-consideration of the application, as amended, is requested.

II. Claim Amendments

Applicant's attorney has made amendments to the claims as indicated above solely for the purpose of clarifying the language of the claims and were not required to distinguish the claims over the prior art.

III. Non-Art Rejections

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 1, 14 and 27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicant's attorney has amended claims 1, 14 and 27 as suggested by the Office Action in order to overcome this rejection.

IV. Non-Art Objections

On page 3 of the Office Action, claims 1, 14 and 27 were objected to because of certain informalities.

Applicant's attorney has amended claims 1, 14 and 27 to overcome this objection.

V. Conclusion

In view of the above, it is submitted that this application is now in good order for allowance and such allowance is respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe minor matters still remain that can be resolved in a telephone interview, the Examiner is urged to call Applicant's undersigned attorney.


Respectfully submitted,

GATES & COOPER LLP  
Attorneys for Applicant

Howard Hughes Center  
6701 Center Drive West, Suite 1050  
Los Angeles, California 90045  
(310) 641-8797

Date: October 2, 2007

GHG/

By:   
Name: George H. Gates  
Reg. No.: 33,500

G&C 109.105-US-01